

**This is Exhibit "HH" referred to in the
Affidavit of Phil Mooney
sworn before me, this 13 day
of May, 2010**



A COMMISSIONER FOR TAKING AFFIDAVITS

Jonathan Edward Heeney, a
Commissioner etc., Province of Ontario,
while a student-at-law.
Expires April 1, 2011.



Wednesday, December 2, 2009

Complaints and Discipline Department

Direct Line: (416) 952-2240

DEC 03 2009

Toll Free: 1-866-308-2742

Fax: (416) 945-6276

Email: pbriand@csic-scci.ca

**Mr. Tarek Allam
P.O. Box 1310
Station H
Montreal, Quebec
H3G 2N3**

**Miller Thomson
C/O Adam Stephens
Scotia Plaza
40 King street. Suite 5800
P.O. Box 1011
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3S1 ✓**

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

Ref: 08-00085 Tarek Allam

Dear Mr. Allam,

After reviewing the evidence obtained during this investigation, which included an interview, the Manager of the Complaints and Discipline division has accepted my recommendation, and determined that this matter be close in accordance with section 3.3. (a) of the Complaint and Discipline Policy. She also requested that I address your actions during the course of this investigation in this letter.

I would like to give you my advice and concerns on the topic of the requirement to disclose information requested by the investigator during an investigation and other issues.

During the interview, you mentioned that you agreed with the publication of your President's comment on "CSIC's comment on the Standing Committee report." You said that the criticism brought was constructive. The evidence indicates the tone and the words used in the published document are far from being the tone of persons promoting the "enhancement" of CSIC and that are supportive of CSIC.



Part 16.6 of the rules of Professional Conduct states that: An Immigration consultant shall not bring discredit upon the Society by acting in such a way as to undermine or threaten to undermine the Society's mandate and/or governing principles. In his statement on the Standing Committee report on the CSIC website, John Ryan invited those interested to dialog with him on the position he took for the Society, not to openly criticize the Society and call for a new governance structure. We are in a free speech society but there are limits to free speech.

It appears that the document was confrontational with CSIC, the Regulator. The document published on the CAPIC website also contained misinformation that was available to the Public at large, as well as CSIC members and CAPIC members, namely by mentioning that: "It is also unacceptable that its decisions cannot be subject to judicial review, meaning that the members could lose their right to practice even if an error is made in the process".

Your action in agreeing to post the document as it stood was interpreted as a challenge to CSIC your regulator.

You were also questioned on your knowledge of the CAPIC Board Members as of June 24, 2008 during the interviews. You replied that you did not know exactly who the Board Members were at that time. The evidence showed that you were present on a BOD meeting on June 13, 2008, where Katarina Onuschuk, Ed Dennis and Sol Gombinsky were accepted as members. The evidence shows that your memory had failures, but that you were present on the June 13 meeting. In the future, you should verify the records and call back the investigator to correct your answer.

I encourage you to be more careful in the future.

This file is closed.

Yours sincerely,

Pierre Briand
Investigator/Enquêteur
Canadian Society for Immigration Consultant (CSIC)
Société Canadienne des Consultants en Immigration (SCCI)
390 Bay street, suite 1600
Toronto, Ontario, M5H 2Y2
416-572-2800 ext 2240
Fax: 416-572-4114/416-945-6276
www.csic-scci.ca